

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,780	08/22/2003	Yasuhiro Omura	105198.04	8075
25944 7.	590 04/09/2004		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			COLLINS, DARRYL J	
P.O. BOX 1992	= *		1071047	DADED MANDED
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2873	

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/645,780	OMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Darryl J. Collins	2873
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the commu	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority doc 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No. <u>10/310780</u> . received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
 7) Notice of References Cited (FTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>22 August 2003</u>. 	.948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for catadioptric projection optical system, does not reasonably provide enablement for an optical system having a reference surface having a reflecting surface and connected to an optical element for adjusting the optical element. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification fails to properly disclose the optical element adjusting mechanism in which the reference surface is connected to the optical element and wherein the reference surface has a reflecting surface as claimed in independent claim 1 and dependent claims 2, 4 and 6. Support in the specification is also lacking for an optical system having the afore mentioned adjusting mechanism wherein the reference surface is perpendicular to an optical axis as claimed in dependent claims 3 and 5, a projection system having the claimed adjusting mechanism as claimed in dependent claim 8 and an imaging method using the claimed optical element adjusting mechanism as claimed in dependent claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farcella et al.

Farcella et al teach an optical element having a reference surface connected to the optical element (Figure 1, element 6) used to position the lens (column 2, lines 32-34) as claimed in independent claim 1 and a body (Figure 1, element 21) having a reference surface (Figure 1, element 6) holding an optical element as claimed in dependent claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farcella et al.

Although Farcella et al fail to specifically teach that the reference surface is perpendicular to an optical axis as claimed in dependent claims 3 and 5, the reference surface having a reflective surface as claimed in dependent claims 4 and 6, a projection system a claimed in dependent claims 7 and 8, and an imaging method as claimed in dependent claim 9, Farcella et al does teach the reference surface (Figure 1, element 6) extending outwardly from the optical axis (Figure 1,

Application/Control Number: 10/645,780

Art Unit: 2873

element 40) in a perpendicular like fashion. Farcella et al also suggest that the optical element assembly may be used in a variety of optical systems (column 1, lines 6-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the optical element assembly of Farcella et al for the purpose of controlling the spacing and/or orientation of the optical element within a given optical system in an effort to increase performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darryl J. Collins whose telephone number is 571-272-2325. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 5:00 Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 1842 Technology Center 2800

Page 4